



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,439	07/14/2002	Scott C. Harris	DIY-C1	2498
23844 7590 02/10/2011 SCOTT C HARRIS Law Office of Scott C Harris, Inc P O BOX 1389 Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067-1389			EXAMINER FU, HAO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3693	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/10/2011	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

scott@harrises.com  
schuspto@gmail.com



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

**FEB - 8 2011**

SCOTT C HARRIS  
Law Office of Scott Harris, Inc  
PO Box 1389  
Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067-1389

In re Application of	:	
Scott Harris	:	
Application No. 10/064,439	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 14, 2002	:	UNDER 37 CFR §1.181
For: WEB BASED COMMUNICATION	:	
OF INFORMATION WITH	:	
RECONFIGURABLE FORMAT	:	

This is a decision on applicant's petition under 37 CFR 1.181 filed September 27, 2010 requesting that the amendment after final of June 25, 2010 be entered.

The petition is **GRANTED** to the extent indicated below.

In the petition filed under 37 CFR 1.181 on January 15, 2008 applicant requests the amendment submitted on June 25, 2010 be entered into the file.

Applicant argues that the refusal to enter the amendment is unfair because the amendment places the case in better condition for appeal and did not raise new issues.

The record reflects that;

On March 25, 2010 a final Office action was mailed with the following rejections:

- Claims 2-16 and 65-69 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph
- Claims 18 and 22-32 under 35 U.S.C. 101
- Claims 2-16, 18, 22-32, and 65-69 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

On June 25, 2010 applicant filed an amendment after final.

On July 26, 2010 applicant filed a notice of appeal.

On July 26, 2010 an advisory action was issued by the examiner refusing to enter the June 25, 2010 amendment after final. In the action the examiner states, "Applicant's amendment filed on 6/25/2010 would overcome the previous rejections based on U.S.C.

112 and U.S.C. 101. However, Examiner respectfully disagrees with the arguments regarding the rejections based on U.S.C. 103."


MPEP 714.13 states, "It should be kept in mind that applicant cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims, add new claims after a final rejection (see 37 CFR 1.116) or reinstate previously canceled claims.

Except where an amendment merely cancels claims, adopts examiner suggestions, removes issues for appeal, or in some other way requires only a cursory review by the examiner, compliance with the requirement of a showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b)(3) is expected in all amendments after final rejection."

Applicant's request to have the amendment after final of June 25, 2010 entered is hereby **GRANTED** because, as stated by the Examiner in Advisory Action, "Applicant's amendment filed on 06/25/2010 would overcome the previous rejections based on U.S.C. 112 and U.S.C. 101" and accordingly the amendment serves to only remove issues (i.e. the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 and 101) for appeal.

The petition is **GRANTED** to the extent indicated above.

Any questions regarding this decision should be directed to James Kramer at (571) 272-6783.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Wynn Coggins, Director  
Patent Technology Center 3600  
Telephone No.: (571) 272-5350

jak: 02/08/2011

LM